

# Linear Maps Leaving the Alternating Group Invariant

HANLEY CHIANG<sup>1</sup> AND CHI-KWONG LI<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary  
P.O. Box 8795, Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8795  
hschia@wm.edu      ckli@math.wm.edu

---

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbf{A}_n$  be the group of  $n \times n$  even permutation matrices, and let  $\mathbf{V}_n$  be the real linear space spanned by  $\mathbf{A}_n$ . The purpose of this note is to characterize those linear operators  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfying  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . This answers a question raised by Li, Tam and Tsing.

---

AMS Classification: 15A04

Key words: Linear preserver, symmetric group, alternating group.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{S}_n$  (respectively  $\mathbf{A}_n$ ) be the group of  $n \times n$  (respectively, even) permutation matrices, and let  $\mathbf{V}_n$  be the real linear space spanned by  $\mathbf{A}_n$ . The purpose of this note is to characterize those linear operators  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfying  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . This answers an open problem in [3].

The problem for  $\mathbf{A}_2$  is trivial since  $\mathbf{A}_2$  is a singleton, and  $\mathbf{V}_2$  is a one dimensional space. The set  $\mathbf{A}_3$  consists of 3 linearly independent matrices, and thus  $\mathbf{V}_3$  is 3-dimensional and  $\mathbf{A}_3$  is a basis. A linear map  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}_3$  satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_3) = \mathbf{A}_3$  if and only if it permutes the elements in the basis  $\mathbf{A}_3$ .

For  $n \geq 4$ , we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose  $n \geq 4$ . Then  $\mathbf{V}_n$  is the space of  $n \times n$  real matrices with equal row sums and columns sums.*

Let  $\mathbf{U}_n$  be the space of  $n \times n$  real matrices with equal row sums and columns sums. Then  $\text{span } \mathbf{A}_n \subseteq \text{span } \mathbf{S}_n \subseteq \mathbf{U}_n$ . By Theorem 1.1, we have  $\mathbf{U}_n = \text{span } \mathbf{A}_n = \text{span } \mathbf{S}_n$  if  $n \geq 4$ , and it is easy to see that  $\text{span } \mathbf{A}_n \neq \text{span } \mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{U}_n$  for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ . In [3, Section 2] the authors used Birkhoff Theorem to deduce that  $\text{span } \mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{U}_n$  for any positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *Consider the normal subgroup  $\mathbf{H}_0 = \{P \in \mathbf{A}_4 : P^2 = I_4\}$  of  $\mathbf{A}_4$ , and the two cosets  $\mathbf{H}_1$  and  $\mathbf{H}_2$  of  $\mathbf{H}_0$  in  $\mathbf{A}_4$ . If  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_4$  is a linear map such that  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_4) = \mathbf{A}_4$ , then*

$$\phi(\mathbf{H}_j) = \mathbf{H}_{i_j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \text{ with } \{i_0, i_1, i_2\} = \{0, 1, 2\}. \quad (1)$$

*Conversely, if  $\psi$  is a permutation on  $\mathbf{A}_4$  such that (1) holds for  $\phi = \psi$ , then  $\psi$  can be extended uniquely to a linear map on  $\mathbf{V}_4$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by an NSF REU grant.

<sup>2</sup>Research supported by an NSF grant.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $n \geq 5$ . A linear map  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$  satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$  if and only if there exist  $P, Q \in \mathbf{S}_n$  with  $PQ \in \mathbf{A}_n$  such that  $\phi$  is of the form*

$$A \mapsto PAQ \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto PA^tQ. \quad (2)$$

It was proved in [3, Theorem 2.2] that linear operators on  $\text{span } \mathbf{S}_n$  mapping  $\mathbf{S}_n$  onto itself have the form (2) for some  $P, Q \in \mathbf{S}_n$ . If one can show that for  $n \geq 5$  every linear operator  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  that satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$  also satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{S}_n) = \mathbf{S}_n$ , then Theorem 1.3 will follow. However, there does not seem to be an easy proof of this.

For any linear operator  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfying  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ , we can replace it by the linear operator  $\psi$  of the form  $A \mapsto \phi(I_n)^{-1}\phi(A)$ . Then  $\psi$  is *unital*, i.e.,  $\psi(I_n) = I_n$ , and satisfies  $\psi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . Using this observation, one easily sees that Theorem 1.3 is equivalent to the following.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $n \geq 5$ . A linear map  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$  satisfies  $\phi(I_n) = I_n$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$  if and only if there exists  $P \in \mathbf{S}_n$  such that  $\phi$  is of the form*

$$A \mapsto PAP^t \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto PA^tP^t,$$

*i.e., the restriction of  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{A}_n$  is a group automorphism or anti-automorphism.*

## 2 Auxiliary Results and Proofs

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $\{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$  be the standard bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the linear space of  $n \times n$  real matrices, respectively. We use the usual cycle notation to represent a permutation matrix in  $\mathbf{S}_n$ . For example,  $(i, j) \in \mathbf{S}_n$  will represent the permutation matrix  $P$  obtained from  $I_n$  by interchanging the  $i$ th and  $j$ th rows. Every element  $P \in \mathbf{S}_n$  can be regarded as a bijection  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and vice versa; namely, the matrix  $P = [e_{\sigma(1)} | \dots | e_{\sigma(n)}] \in \mathbf{S}_n$  corresponds to the bijection  $\sigma$ . We will use both interpretations in our discussion. For instance, if  $P \in \mathbf{S}_n$  corresponds to a permutation  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $Q \in \mathbf{S}_n$  corresponds to the  $k$ -cycle  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , then  $PQP^t$  corresponds to the  $k$ -cycle  $(\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_k))$ .

Denote by  $J_n$  the  $n \times n$  matrix with all entries equal to  $1/n$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$$\mathbf{U}_k = \{X_0 \oplus \gamma I_{n-k} : \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, X_0 \text{ is } k \times k \text{ with all row and column sums equal to } \gamma\}. \quad (3)$$

Then  $\mathbf{U}_n$  is just the set of  $n \times n$  real matrices with equal row sums and column sums defined in the last section, and Theorem 1.1 asserts that  $\mathbf{U}_n = \mathbf{V}_n$ . Indeed, every  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with equal row sums and column sums  $\gamma$  can be written as  $A = A_0 + \gamma I$  so that  $A_0 = A - \gamma I$  has row sums and column sums zero. We have the following result, from which Theorem 1.1 readily follows.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $n \geq k \geq 4$ . Then  $\mathbf{U}_k$  defined as in (3) is spanned by elements in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  of the form:*

$$R = (i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4) \quad \text{with} \quad i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \quad (4)$$

*(Note that  $R$  can only be  $I_n$ , a 3-cycle, or a product of two disjoint transpositions.)*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{U}_k$  has a basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{I_n\} \cup \{E_{ij} - E_{ik} - E_{kj} + E_{kk} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1\},$$

it has dimension  $(k-1)^2 + 1$ .

When  $n \geq k = 4$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_4$  has dimension 10, and every matrix in  $\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{U}_4$  is of the form (4) with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . One can check that there are 10 linearly independent matrices in  $\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{U}_4$ . This can also be done by a simple Matlab program as shown in the Appendix.

Suppose  $n \geq k \geq 5$ . It suffices to show that every element in  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linear combination of matrices in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  of the form (4). Clearly,  $I_n$  is of the form (4). For any  $1 \leq i, j \leq k-1$ , let  $F_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ik} - E_{kj} + E_{kk}$ , and let  $p, q, r, s$  be distinct elements of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  that satisfy  $\{i, j, k\} \subseteq \{p, q, r, s\}$ . Suppose  $Q$  is a permutation mapping the indices  $p, q, r, s$  to  $1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then  $QF_{ij}Q^t \in \mathbf{U}_4$ , and we can apply the result on  $\mathbf{U}_4$  to conclude that  $QF_{ij}Q^t$  is a linear combination of matrices  $R_1, \dots, R_m$  of the form (4) with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Thus,  $F_{ij}$  is a linear combination of the matrices  $Q^t R_1 Q, \dots, Q^t R_m Q$ , all of the form (4) with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{p, q, r, s\}$ .  $\square$

We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is a linear map on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfying  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . Then*

$$\phi(J_n) = J_n. \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{P \in \mathbf{A}_n} P = n!J_n/2$ , we have

$$\phi(n!J_n) = \phi\left(2 \sum_{P \in \mathbf{A}_n} P\right) = 2 \sum_{P \in \mathbf{A}_n} \phi(P) = 2 \sum_{P \in \mathbf{A}_n} P = n!J_n.$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\mathbf{H}_0, \mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2$  be defined as in the theorem. Clearly,  $\mathbf{H}_0 = \{I_4, (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 4)(2, 3)\}$ . We claim that:

$$\mathbf{T} = \{X_1, \dots, X_4\} \subseteq \mathbf{A}_4$$

satisfies  $X_1 + \dots + X_4 = 4J_4$  if and only if  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{H}_i$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

To prove this claim, let  $\mathbf{T}$  be such a set. Then the matrices  $Y_j = X_1^t X_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  satisfy  $Y_1 = I_4$  and  $Y_1 + \dots + Y_4 = 4J_4$ ; so,  $Y_2, Y_3, Y_4$  all have zero diagonals, and thus  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_4\} = \mathbf{H}_0$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{T} = X_1 \mathbf{H}_0$  is a coset of  $\mathbf{H}_0$  as asserted.

Suppose  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_4$  satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_4) = \phi(\mathbf{A}_4)$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}_0 = \{X_1, \dots, X_4\}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$4J_4 = \phi(4J_4) = \phi(X_1 + \dots + X_4) = \phi(X_1) + \dots + \phi(X_4).$$

It follows from our claim that  $\phi(\mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{H}_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Repeating the arguments to  $\mathbf{H}_1$  and  $\mathbf{H}_2$ , we see that  $\phi(\mathbf{H}_j) = \mathbf{H}_{i_j}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $\{i_0, i_1, i_2\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

Suppose  $\psi$  permutes the elements in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  and satisfies  $\psi(\mathbf{H}_j) = \mathbf{H}_{i_j}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $\{i_0, i_1, i_2\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Take 3 elements from each of the set  $\mathbf{H}_j$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , to get 9 matrices  $Y_1, \dots, Y_9 \in \mathbf{A}_4$ . One can check, say, using Matlab, that  $\{J_4, Y_1, \dots, Y_9\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{V}_4$ . Define the linear map  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_4$  by  $\phi(J_4) = J_4$  and  $\phi(Y_j) = \psi(Y_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 9$ . Then  $\phi$  is the unique linear operator on  $\mathbf{V}_4$  such that  $\phi(X) = \psi(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathbf{A}_4$ .  $\square$

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.4. We need some more notations and lemmas. Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  be the set of  $n \times n$  real matrices with row sums and column sums zero, and let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n = \mathbf{A}_n - J_n = \{P - J_n : P \in \mathbf{A}_n\}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  is a group with  $I_n - J_n$  as the identity, and  $P^t - J_n$  as the inverse of  $P - J_n$ , for any  $P \in \mathbf{A}_n$ . Moreover, since  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n \subseteq \mathbf{U}_n = \mathbf{V}_n$ , for any  $X \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  there is a linear combination of  $P_1, \dots, P_k \in \mathbf{A}_n$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j P_j = X$ . Since  $X$  has zero row sums and column sums, we see that  $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j = 0$ , and thus  $X = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j (P_j - J_n)$ . Hence we have  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n = \text{span } \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ . Suppose  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$  is a linear map that satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . Since  $\phi(J_n) = J_n$  by Lemma 2.2, and  $\phi(P - J_n) = \phi(P) - J_n$  for any  $P \in \mathbf{A}_n$ , we have  $\phi(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ , and hence  $\phi(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n) \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ .

The usual inner product

$$(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY^t)$$

on  $n \times n$  real matrices induces inner products on the subspaces  $\mathbf{V}_n$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . Let  $G$  be the group of linear operators  $\psi$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  satisfying  $\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$  is a compact set spanning  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ , we see that  $G$  is a compact group of nonsingular linear operators on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . By a result of Auerbach [1] (see [2] for an elementary proof), there exists a positive definite operator  $T$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  such that

$$TGT^{-1} = \{T\psi T^{-1} : \psi \in G\}$$

is a subgroup of  $O(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_n)$  – the group of orthogonal operators on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . Denote by  $L^*$  the adjoint operator of  $L$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ , i.e.,  $(L(X), Y) = (X, L^*(Y))$  for all  $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . Then for any  $\psi \in G$ ,  $(T\psi T^{-1})^*(T\psi T^{-1})$  is the identity operator on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ , i.e.,  $T^2\psi = (\psi^*)^{-1}T^2$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  and  $M_{n-1}$  are isomorphic algebras. To see this, consider an orthogonal matrix  $P$  whose last row equals  $(1, \dots, 1)/\sqrt{n}$ . Then for every  $X \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ , we have  $PXP^t = \hat{X} \oplus [0]$  with  $\hat{X} \in M_{n-1}$ , and the mapping  $X \mapsto \hat{X}$  is an algebra isomorphism. It is well known (and easy to check) that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a spanning set for the linear space  $M_{n-1}$ , then the mappings of the form  $X \mapsto PXQ$  with  $P, Q \in \mathcal{S}$  span the linear space of linear transformations from  $M_{n-1}$  to itself. An analogous result holds for  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . So, if  $H$  is the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of operators of the form  $X \mapsto PXQ$  with  $P, Q \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ , then  $H$  spans the space of all linear operators on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  because  $\text{span } \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . Furthermore, every element in  $H$  satisfies  $\psi^* = \psi^{-1}$ ,

and hence  $T^2\psi = \psi T^2$  for all  $\psi \in H$ . It follows that  $T^2$  commutes with all operators on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ ; hence  $T^2$  is a scalar operator. Since  $T$  is a positive definite operator,  $T$  is a scalar operator as well. Thus, we have  $TGT^{-1} = G$  and so  $G$  is a subgroup of  $O(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n)$ , i.e., every element in  $G$  preserves the inner product on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ .

Consider any linear map  $\phi : \mathbf{V}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_n$  that satisfies  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . Suppose  $\tilde{\phi}$  is the restriction of  $\phi$  on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$  (to  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ ). For any  $R, S \in \mathbf{V}_n$  with row sums  $r$  and  $s$ , respectively, we can write  $R = R_0 + rJ_n$  and  $S = S_0 + sJ_n$  with  $R_0, S_0 \in \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . By what we have just shown,  $\tilde{\phi}$  preserves the inner product on  $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_n$ . And since  $\phi(J_n) = J_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(R, S) &= (R_0 + rJ_n, S_0 + sJ_n) \\
&= (R_0, S_0) + rs \\
&= (\tilde{\phi}(R_0), \tilde{\phi}(S_0)) + rs \\
&= (\tilde{\phi}(R_0) + rJ_n, \tilde{\phi}(S_0) + sJ_n) \\
&= (\phi(R_0) + rJ_n, \phi(S_0) + sJ_n) \\
&= (\phi(R_0 + rJ_n), \phi(S_0 + sJ_n)) \\
&= (\phi(R), \phi(S)).
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** *Suppose  $n \geq 4$ , and  $\phi$  is a linear operator on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfying  $\phi(\mathbf{A}_n) = \mathbf{A}_n$ . Then*

$$(\phi(R), \phi(S)) = (R, S) \quad \text{for any } R, S \in \mathbf{V}_n. \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 2.4** *Suppose  $n \geq 4$ .*

- (a) *For any  $S \in \mathbf{A}_n$ ,  $(I_n, S)$  is just the number of nonzero diagonal entries of  $S$ .*
- (b) *For any  $R, S \in \mathbf{A}_n$ ,  $(R, S) = (I_n, R^t S)$ .*
- (c) *For any two different  $R, S \in \mathbf{A}_n$ , we have  $(R, S) \leq n - 3$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $R^t S$  is a 3-cycle. Moreover,*
  - (c.1) *a 3-cycle  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  and a 5-cycle  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$  have inner product  $n - 3$  if and only if  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  is one of the following:*

$$(j_1, j_2, j_3), (j_2, j_3, j_4), (j_3, j_4, j_5), (j_4, j_5, j_1), (j_5, j_1, j_2);$$

- (c.2) *a 5-cycle  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$  and a product of two disjoint transpositions  $(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$  have inner product  $n - 3$  if and only if  $(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$  is one of the following:*

$$(j_1, j_2)(j_3, j_4), (j_1, j_2)(j_4, j_5), (j_2, j_3)(j_4, j_5), (j_2, j_3)(j_5, j_1), (j_3, j_4)(j_5, j_1);$$

(c.3) a 3-cycle  $(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  and a product of two disjoint transpositions  $(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$  have inner product  $n - 3$  if and only if  $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$ ;

(c.4) two 5-cycles  $(i_1, \dots, i_5)$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$ , with  $\{i_1, \dots, i_5\} \neq \{j_1, \dots, j_5\}$ , have inner product  $n - 3$  if and only if there exists  $k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_5\}$  such that  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$  is one of the following:

$$(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, k), \quad (i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, k), \quad (i_3, i_4, i_5, i_1, k), \quad (i_4, i_5, i_1, i_2, k), \quad (i_5, i_1, i_2, i_3, k).$$

(d) Two matrices  $R, S \in \mathbf{A}_n$  satisfy  $(R, S) = n - 4$  if and only if  $R^t S$  is the product of 2 disjoint transpositions.

(e) Two matrices  $R, S \in \mathbf{A}_n$  satisfy  $(R, S) = n - 5$  if and only if  $R^t S$  is a 5-cycle.

*Proof.* Let  $R, S \in \mathbf{A}_n$ . Then computing  $(R, S)$  is the same as counting the number of overlapping nonzero positions of the two matrices. Also, it is clear that

$$(R, S) = \text{tr}(RS^t) = (I, R^t S).$$

With these two observations, one immediately get (a), (b), (c), (d), (e).

Suppose we have a 3-cycle  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  and a 5-cycle  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$  in  $\mathbf{A}_n$ . Then the two matrices can overlap at no more than  $n - 5$  diagonal positions (limited by  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$ ), and no more than 2 off-diagonal positions (limited by the number of off-diagonal entries of  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  that can appear in  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$ ). If the two matrices have inner product  $n - 3$ , then both of these upper bounds are attained. So,  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \subseteq \{j_1, \dots, j_5\}$ , and two off-diagonal entries of  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  must appear in  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$ . We get (c.1).

The proofs of (c.2) and (c.3) are similar to that of (c.1). To prove (c.4) let  $(i_1, \dots, i_5)$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_5)$  be two 5-cycles in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  such that  $\{i_1, \dots, i_5\} \neq \{j_1, \dots, j_5\}$ . Then the two matrices can overlap at no more than  $n - 6$  diagonal positions, and no more than 3 off-diagonal positions. If the two matrices have inner product  $n - 3$ , then both of these upper bounds are attained. Using the fact that the two matrices overlap at 3 off-diagonal positions, one readily gets the conclusion.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** The sufficiency part is clear. We consider the necessity part. We need only to show that  $\phi$  can be converted to the identity mapping on  $\mathbf{V}_n$  by the composite of a sequence of mappings of the form

$$X \mapsto P\phi(X)P^t \quad \text{or} \quad X \mapsto P\phi(X)^t P^t \quad \text{for some } P \in \mathbf{S}_n. \quad (7)$$

First, we prove the following.

**Assertion 1.** Replacing  $\phi$  by the composite of a sequence of mappings of the form (7), we can assume that  $\phi$  fixes  $I_n, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), (1, 2, 3)$ , and  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$ .

*Proof of Assertion 1.* Since  $\phi(I_n) = I_n$  and (6) is satisfied, it follows from Lemma 2.4 (c) and (e) that  $\phi$  will map 3-cycles to 3-cycles and map 5-cycles to 5-cycles. In particular, we

have  $\phi((1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = (i_1, \dots, i_5)$ . We may assume that  $\phi$  fixes  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ ; otherwise, let  $P$  be a permutation sending  $i_1, \dots, i_5$  to  $1, \dots, 5$ , respectively, and replace  $\phi$  by the mapping  $A \mapsto P\phi(A)P^t$ .

Let  $X = (1, 2, 3)$ . Then  $\phi(X)$  is a 3-cycle. Since  $\phi$  preserves the inner product and fixes  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ , we have

$$(\phi(X), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = (\phi(X), \phi((1, 2, 3, 4, 5))) = (X, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = n - 3.$$

By Lemma 2.4 (c.1),

$$\phi(X) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 1), (5, 1, 2)\}.$$

We may assume that  $\phi(X) = (1, 2, 3)$ . Otherwise, replace  $\phi$  by the mapping  $A \mapsto P\phi(A)P^t$  with

$$P = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)^4, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)^3, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)^2, \text{ or } (1, 2, 3, 4, 5),$$

depending on  $\phi(X) = (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5), (4, 5, 1)$ , or  $(5, 1, 2)$ , respectively. The resulting map will fix  $I_n, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ , and  $(1, 2, 3)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be the set of products of two disjoint transpositions  $R = (i, j)(k, l) \in \mathbf{A}_n$  such that  $(R, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = n - 3$ . By Lemma 2.4 (c.2),

$$\mathbf{T} = \{(1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2)(4, 5), (2, 3)(4, 5), (2, 3)(5, 1), (3, 4)(5, 1)\}. \quad (8)$$

Let  $X = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ . By Lemma 2.4 (d) and the facts that  $\phi$  fixes  $I_n$  and preserves the inner product, we see that  $\phi(X)$  is a product of two disjoint transpositions. Since  $\phi$  fixes  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  and preserves the inner product, we have

$$(\phi(X), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = (X, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = n - 3,$$

and thus  $\phi(X) \in \mathbf{T}$  by the definition of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Now, since  $\phi$  fixes  $(1, 2, 3)$  and preserves the inner product, we have

$$(\phi(X), (1, 2, 3)) = (X, (1, 2, 3)) = n - 3.$$

By Lemma 2.4 (c.3) and the fact that  $\phi(X) \in \mathbf{T}$ , we have  $\phi(X) \in \{(1, 2)(3, 4), (2, 3)(1, 5)\}$ . We may assume that  $\phi(X) = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ . Otherwise, let  $P = (1, 3)(4, 5)$  and replace  $\phi$  by the mapping  $A \mapsto P\phi(A)P^t$ . Then the resulting map will fix  $I_n, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), (1, 2, 3)$ , and  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$ . The proof of Assertion 1 is complete.

Next, we prove an assertion, which will be used repeatedly in the future with  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  replaced by suitable  $\{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5\}$ .

**Assertion 2.** *If  $\phi$  fixes  $I_n, (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ , and  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$ , then  $\phi$  fixes the matrices in  $\mathbf{U}_5$  defined as in (3).*

*Proof of Assertion 2.* By the argument given in the proof of Assertion 1, we have  $\phi(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{T}$ , where  $\mathbf{T}$  is defined as in (8). Moreover,  $\mathbf{T}$  may be partitioned into subsets

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \{(1,2)(3,4), (2,3)(1,5)\}, \quad \mathbf{T}_2 = \{(1,2)(4,5), (2,3)(4,5)\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T}_3 = \{(3,4)(1,5)\},$$

where the elements of  $\mathbf{T}_i$  have inner product  $n - 2 - i$  with  $(1,2,3)$ . Since  $\phi$  fixes  $(1,2,3)$  and preserves the inner product,  $\phi(\mathbf{T}_i) = \mathbf{T}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . So,  $\phi$  fixes  $(3,4)(1,5)$ . Since  $\phi$  already fixes  $(1,2)(3,4)$ ,  $\phi$  must fix  $(2,3)(1,5)$ . Finally, since the members of  $\mathbf{T}_2$  have different inner products with  $(1,2)(3,4)$ ,  $\phi$  must fix  $(1,2)(4,5)$  and  $(2,3)(4,5)$ . Thus,  $\phi$  fixes each element of

$$\mathbf{F} = \{I_n, (1,2,3,4,5), (1,2,3)\} \cup \mathbf{T}. \quad (9)$$

Next, consider any 3-cycle

$$R = (i_1, i_2, i_3) \quad \text{with} \quad i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}. \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 2.4 (c.3),  $R$  has inner product  $n - 3$  with at least one member of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $\phi(R) = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ . Since  $\phi$  fixes each member of  $\mathbf{T}$  and preserves the inner product, it follows that  $(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  has inner product  $n - 3$  with at least one member of  $\mathbf{T}$ , say, with  $S = (j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4)$ . Since  $(\phi(R), S) = n - 3$ , it follows from Lemma 2.4 (c.3) that

$$\{k_1, k_2, k_3\} \subseteq \{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$$

In other words,  $\phi$  maps any 3-cycle  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  satisfying (10) to another 3-cycle satisfying (10). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_8$  be the elements in  $\mathbf{F}$ . For each 3-cycle  $Y$  satisfying (10), let

$$v(Y) = ((Y, X_1), \dots, (Y, X_8)). \quad (11)$$

One can check that if  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are different 3-cycles satisfying (10), then  $v(Y_1) \neq v(Y_2)$ . (See the Matlab program and output in the Appendix.) Since  $\phi$  preserves the inner product and fixes each element in  $\mathbf{F}$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  fixes each 3-cycle satisfying (10).

One can check (see the Matlab program at the Appendix) that elements in  $\mathbf{F}$  together with the 3-cycles satisfying (10) generate a 17-dimensional subspace, which is the dimension of  $\mathbf{U}_5$ . Thus,  $\phi$  fixes the elements in a generating set of  $\mathbf{U}_5$ , and hence it fixes every matrix in  $\mathbf{U}_5$ . The proof of Assertion 2 is complete.

If  $n = 5$ , then  $\mathbf{U}_5 = \mathbf{V}_n$  and we are done. Suppose  $n > 5$ . We prove the following assertion.

**Assertion 3.** *Suppose  $5 \leq k < n$ , and suppose  $\phi$  fixes all the matrices in  $\mathbf{U}_k$  defined as in (3). Then one can replace  $\phi$  by a mapping of the form (7) so that the resulting map will fix all matrices in  $\mathbf{U}_{k+1}$ .*

*Proof of Assertion 3.* By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that  $\phi$  can be modified so that the resulting map will fix all permutations in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  of the form  $(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$  with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$ . Let  $X = (1, 2, 3, 4, k+1)$ . Then  $\phi(X)$  is a 5-cycle by Lemma 2.4 (e). Since  $\phi$  fixes  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  and preserves the inner product, we have

$$(\phi(X), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = (X, (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)) = n - 3.$$



By Lemma 2.4 (c.4),  $\phi(X)$  equals one of the following:

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, j), (2, 3, 4, 5, j), (3, 4, 5, 1, j), (4, 5, 1, 2, j), (5, 1, 2, 3, j)$$

for some  $j > k$ . We may assume that  $j = k + 1$ ; otherwise, let  $P$  be the transposition  $(j, k + 1)$  and replace  $\phi$  by the mapping  $A \mapsto P\phi(A)P^t$ . We are going to show that this modified mapping  $\phi$  fixes all matrices in  $\mathbf{U}_{k+1}$ . Since  $\phi$  also fixes  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$ , we have

$$(\phi(X), (1, 2)(3, 4)) = (X, (1, 2)(3, 4)) = n - 3.$$

By Lemma 2.4 (c.2), it follows that  $\phi(1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1) = (1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$ . Now,  $\phi$  fixes

$$I_n, (1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1), \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 2)(3, 4).$$

Using Assertion 2 with 5 replaced by  $k + 1$ , we see that  $\phi$  fixes all permutations in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  generated by elements of the form  $(j_1, j_2)(j_3, j_4)$  with  $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1\}$ .

Next, we consider a 5-cycle  $X = (1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1)$  with  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $\phi(X)$  is a 5-cycle. Let  $i_4 \in \{2, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ ,  $Y_1 = (1, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ , and  $Y_2 = (1, i_1)(i_2, i_3)$ . Then for  $j = 1, 2$ , we have  $\phi(Y_j) = Y_j$  and

$$(\phi(X), Y_j) = (\phi(X), \phi(Y_j)) = (X, Y_j) = n - 3. \quad (12)$$

By Lemma 2.4 (c.2) and (c.4), we have  $\phi(X) = (1, i_1, i_2, i_3, j)$  for some  $j \geq k + 1$ . If  $j > k + 1$ , then every common nonzero (diagonal, or off-diagonal) position of  $(1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$  and  $(1, i_1, i_2, i_3, j)$  is also a common nonzero position of  $(1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$  and  $(1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1)$ . But  $(1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$  and  $(1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1)$  have common nonzero positions that are not shared by  $(1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$  and  $(1, i_1, i_2, i_3, j)$ ; namely, at the  $(1, k + 1)$  and  $(j, j)$  positions (and also at the  $(k + 1, 4)$  position if  $i_3 = 4$ ). Hence, if  $Y_3 = (1, 2, 3, 4, k + 1)$ , then  $(X, Y_3) - (\phi(X), \phi(Y_3)) = 2$  or 3, which is a contradiction. Thus, we must have  $j = k + 1$  and  $\phi(X) = X$ . So,  $\phi$  fixes  $I_n, (1, i_1, i_2), (1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1)$ , and  $(1, i_1)(i_2, i_3)$ . Using Assertion 2 with  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  replaced by  $(1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1)$ , we see that  $\phi$  fixes all permutations in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  generated by elements of the form  $(j_1, j_2)(j_3, j_4)$  with  $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 \in \{1, i_1, i_2, i_3, k + 1\}$ .

Suppose  $X = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, k + 1)$  is a 5-cycle such that  $i_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ , and  $i_1 \neq 1$ . Let  $i_5 \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$ ,  $Y_1 = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5)$ ,  $Y_2 = (i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$ , and  $Y_3 = (i_2, i_3)(i_1, k + 1)$ . Note that  $\phi(Y_3) = Y_3$  by the result in the preceding paragraph. So, for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we have  $\phi(Y_j) = Y_j$  and (12). By Lemma 2.4 (c.2) and (c.4), we have  $\phi(X) = X$ . Now,  $\phi$  fixes  $I_n, (i_1, i_2, i_3), (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, k + 1), (i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$ . Using Assertion 2 with  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  replaced by  $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, k + 1)$ , we see that  $\phi$  fixes all permutations in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  generated by elements of the form  $(j_1, j_2)(j_3, j_4)$  with  $j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 \in \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, k + 1\}$ .

Combining the above arguments, we see that the modified mapping  $\phi$  fixes all the permutations in  $\mathbf{A}_n$  of the form  $(i_1, i_2)(i_3, i_4)$  with  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \dots, k + 1\}$ . The proof of Assertion 3 is complete.

Applying Assertion 3 repeatedly, we conclude that  $\phi$  fixes every element in  $\mathbf{U}_n = \mathbf{V}_n$ . The conclusion of the theorem follows.  $\square$

### 3 Appendix: Matlab Programs and Output

The following Matlab program provides the computational step in the proof of Proposition 2.1, namely, checking that  $\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{U}_4$  contains 10 linearly independent elements. Since every matrix in  $\mathbf{A}_n \cap \mathbf{U}_4$  is of the form  $P \oplus I_{n-4}$  with  $P \in \mathbf{A}_4$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{A}_4$  has 10 linearly independent elements.

In the program, we first define the standard unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  in row vector form. Then we express the matrices in  $\mathbf{A}_4$  in row vector form and store them in the matrix  $X$ . Finally, we apply the “rank” command to check the number of linearly independent row vectors of  $X$ . The output “ans = 10” indicates that there are 10 linearly independent elements in  $\mathbf{A}_4$ , as we claimed.

```
e1= [1 0 0 0]; e2= [0 1 0 0]; e3= [0 0 1 0]; e4= [0 0 0 1];
X = [e1 e2 e3 e4; e2 e1 e4 e3; e3 e4 e1 e2; e4 e3 e2 e1;
     e2 e3 e1 e4; e2 e4 e3 e1; e3 e2 e4 e1; e1 e3 e4 e2;
     e3 e1 e2 e4; e4 e1 e3 e2; e4 e2 e1 e3; e1 e4 e2 e3];
z = rank(X)

ans =
```

10

The next Matlab program provides two computational steps in the proof of Theorem 1.4, namely,

- (i) for  $v(Y)$  defined in (11) for a 3-cycle  $Y = (i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \mathbf{U}_5$ , if  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are two different 3-cycles in  $\mathbf{U}_5$  then  $v(Y_1) \neq v(Y_2)$ ,
- (ii) the elements in  $\mathbf{F}$  defined in (9) and the 3-cycles in  $\mathbf{U}_5$  together contain 17 linearly independent matrices.

Since every matrix under consideration is of the form  $X_0 \oplus I_{n-5}$ , we only need to verify the statement for  $n = 5$ .

In the following program, we first define the standard unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  in row vector form. Then we express the eight matrices in  $\mathbf{F}$  defined in (9) in the proof of Theorem 1.4 as  $1 \times 25$  row vectors, and store them as rows in the matrix  $X$ . Then we express the twenty 3-cycles in  $\mathbf{A}_5$  as  $1 \times 25$  row vectors, and store them as rows in the matrix  $Y$ .

We then compute the  $Z = YX^t$ . The  $i$ th row of this matrix will give

$$v(Y_i) = ((X_1, Y_i), \dots, (X_8, Y_i))$$

defined as in (11). Then we compare the rows of  $Z$ , and check that no two rows are the same, i.e.,  $v(Y_i) \neq v(Y_j)$  if  $Y_i \neq Y_j$ . The output “ans = 0 0” confirms this claim.

Also, we compute the rank of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$$

and the output “ans = 17” confirms that the matrices in  $\mathbf{F}$  and the 3-cycles together generate a 17-dimensional subspace as asserted.

```

e1 = [1 0 0 0 0]; e2 = [0 1 0 0 0]; e3 = [0 0 1 0 0]; e4 = [0 0 0 1 0];
e5 = [0 0 0 0 1];
X = [e1 e2 e3 e4 e5; e2 e3 e1 e4 e5; e2 e1 e4 e3 e5; e5 e3 e2 e4 e1;
      e2 e1 e3 e5 e4; e1 e3 e2 e5 e4; e5 e2 e4 e3 e1; e2 e3 e4 e5 e1];
Y = [e2 e3 e1 e4 e5; e2 e4 e3 e1 e5; e2 e5 e3 e4 e1; e3 e2 e4 e1 e5;
      e3 e2 e5 e4 e1; e4 e2 e3 e5 e1; e1 e3 e4 e2 e5; e1 e3 e5 e4 e2;
      e1 e4 e3 e5 e2; e1 e2 e4 e5 e3; e3 e1 e2 e4 e5; e4 e1 e3 e2 e5;
      e5 e1 e3 e4 e2; e4 e2 e1 e3 e5; e5 e2 e1 e4 e3; e5 e2 e3 e1 e4;
      e1 e4 e2 e3 e5; e1 e5 e2 e4 e3; e1 e5 e3 e2 e4; e1 e2 e5 e3 e4];
Z = Y*X';
z = [0 0];
for r=1:19
    for s=r+1:20
        if Z(r,:) == Z(s,:),
            z = [r,s];
        else
            z = z;
        end
    end
end
z

ans =

    0    0

rank([X ; Y])

ans =

    17

```

## Acknowledgment

We thank Professor Bit-Shun Tam for many helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] H. Auerbach, Sur les groupes bornés de substitutions linéaires, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **195** (1932), 1367–1369.
- [2] E. Deutsch and H. Schneider, Bounded groups and norm-hermitian matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **9** (1974), 9–27.
- [3] C.K. Li, B.S. Tam and N.K. Tsing, Linear maps preserving permutation and stochastic matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, to appear. Preprint available at <http://www.math.wm.edu/~ckli/pub.html>.